

Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise



5 **IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine
Technical Framework Supplement**

10 **Radiology Pathology Concordance
(RPC)**

HL7[®] FHIR[®] R4

Using Resources at FMM Level 2

15 **Revision 1.1 – Trial Implementation**

20 Date: November 23, 2021
Author: PaLM Technical Committee
Email: PaLM@ihe.net

25 **Please verify you have the most recent version of this document. See [here](#) for Trial Implementation and Final Text versions and [here](#) for Public Comment versions.**

Foreword

30 This is a supplement to the IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Technical Framework .
Each supplement undergoes a process of public comment and trial implementation before being
incorporated into the volumes of the Technical Frameworks.

This supplement is published for trial implementation on November 23, 2021 and may be
available for testing at subsequent IHE Connectathons. The supplement may be amended based
on the results of testing. Following successful testing it will be incorporated into the Pathology
35 and Laboratory Medicine Technical Framework. Comments are invited and may be submitted at
http://ihe.net/PaLM_Public_Comments.

This supplement describes changes to the existing technical framework documents.

“Boxed” instructions like the sample below indicate to the Volume Editor how to integrate the
relevant section(s) into the relevant Technical Framework volume.

40

<i>Amend section X.X by the following:</i>
--

Where the amendment adds text, make the added text **bold underline**. Where the amendment
removes text, make the removed text **~~bold strikethrough~~**. When entire new sections are added,
introduce with editor’s instructions to “add new text” or similar, which for readability are not
bolded or underlined.

45

General information about IHE can be found at [IHE](#).

Information about the IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine domain can be found at [IHE
Domains](#).

50 Information about the organization of IHE Technical Frameworks and Supplements and the
process used to create them can be found at [Profiles](#) and [IHE Process](#).

The current version of the IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Technical Framework can be
found at [PaLM Technical Framework](#).

55 **CONTENTS**

	Introduction to this Supplement.....	6
	Open Issues and Questions	7
	Closed Issues	7
60	IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction.....	8
9	Copyright Licenses.....	8
10	Trademark	8
	IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction Appendices.....	9
	Appendix A – Actors	9
65	Appendix B – Transactions.....	10
	Appendix D – Glossary.....	10
	Volume 1 – Profiles	11
	Domain-specific additions	11
	X Radiology Pathology Concordance (RPC) Profile.....	12
70	X.1 RPC Actors, Transactions, and Content Modules.....	12
	X.1.1 Actor Descriptions and Actor Profile Requirements.....	14
	X.1.1.1 Content Creator.....	14
	X.1.1.2 Content Consumer	14
	X.1.1.3 Content Data Structure Creator	14
75	X.1.1.4 Content Data Structure Consumer	14
	X.2 RPC Actor Options	14
	X.3 RPC Required Actor Groupings.....	14
	X.4 RPC Overview	14
	X.4.1 Concepts	14
80	X.4.1.1 Use Case #1: Concordance Scoring for Radiologist Training.....	15
	X.4.1.1.1 Concordance Scoring for Radiologist Training Use Case Description Use Case Description	15
	X.4.1.1.2 Radiologist Training Process Flow	15
	X.4.1.2 Use Case #2: Rad-Path Concordance and Reporting for MCC/Tumor Board Review	15
85	X.4.1.2.1 Rad-Path Concordance and Reporting for MCC/Tumor Board Review Use Case Description	15
	X.4.1.3 Use Case #3: Breast Rad-Path Concordance.....	16
	X.4.1.3.1 Breast Rad-Path Concordance Use Case Description.....	16
90	X.4.1.4 Use Case #4: Prostate Rad-Path Concordance	16
	X.4.1.4.1 Prostate Rad-Path Concordance Use Case Description	16
	X.4.1.5 Use Case #5: Thyroid Rad-Path Concordance	17
	X.4.1.5.1 Thyroid Rad-Path Concordance Use Case Description.....	17
	X.5 RPC Security Considerations	17
95	X.6 RPC Cross Profile Considerations	18
	X.6.1 SDC - Structured Data Capture	18

	X.6.2 APSR - Anatomic Pathology Structured Report	18
	Appendices to Volume 1	19
	Volume 2 – Transactions	20
100	3.Y Send Concordance Report [LAB-90]	20
	3.Y.1 Scope	20
	3.Y.2 Actor Roles	20
	3.Y.3 Referenced Standards	20
	3.Y.4 Messages	21
105	3.Y.4.1 Send Concordance Report	21
	3.Y.4.1.1 Trigger Events	21
	3.Y.4.1.2 Message Semantics	22
	3.Y.4.1.3 Expected Actions	22
	3.Y.4.2 Send Concordance Report Response	23
110	3.Y.4.2.1 Trigger Events	23
	3.Y.4.2.2 Message Semantics	23
	3.Y.4.2.3 Expected Actions	24
	3.Y.5 Protocol Requirements	24
	3.Y.6 Security Considerations	24
115	3.Y.6.1 Security Audit Considerations	24
	3.Y2 Retrieve Concordance Report Definition [LAB-91]	24
	3.Y2.1 Scope	25
	3.Y2.2 Actor Roles	25
	3.Y2.3 Referenced Standards	25
120	3.Y2.4 Messages	25
	3.Y2.4.1 Retrieve Concordance Report Definition	26
	3.Y2.4.1.1 Trigger Events	26
	3.Y2.4.1.2 Message Semantics	26
	3.Y2.4.1.3 Expected Actions	27
125	3.Y2.4.2 Retrieve Concordance Report Definition Response	27
	3.Y2.4.2.1 Trigger Events	27
	3.Y2.4.2.2 Message Semantics	27
	3.Y2.4.2.3 Expected Actions	29
	3.Y2.5 Protocol Requirements	29
130	3.Y2.6 Security Considerations	29
	Appendices to Volume 2	30
	Volume 3 – Content Modules	31
	5 IHE Namespaces, Concept Domains and Vocabularies	31
	5.1 IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Namespaces	31
135	5.2 IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Concept Domains	31
	5.3 IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Format Codes and Vocabularies	31
	5.3.1 IHE Format Codes	31
	5.3.2 IHEActCode Vocabulary	32

	5.3.3 IHERoleCode Vocabulary.....	32
140	6 Pathology and Laboratory HL7 V3 CDA Content Modules	33
	7 Pathology and Laboratory Medicine DICOM Content Definitions.....	34
8	RPC Content Modules	35
	8.1 Overview of the RPC Process.....	35
	8.2 FHIR MeasureReport.....	35
145	Appendices to Volume 3.....	36
	Appendix A – Sample Measure and Message for RPC Profile	36
	A.1 Sample RPC Measure.....	36
	A.2 Sample RPC Message	38
	Volume 4 – National Extensions	41

150 **Introduction to this Supplement**

155 Whenever possible, IHE profiles are based on established and stable underlying standards. However, if an IHE domain determines that an emerging standard has high likelihood of industry adoption, and the standard offers significant benefits for the use cases it is attempting to address, the domain may develop IHE profiles based on such a standard. During Trial Implementation, the IHE domain will update and republish the IHE profile as the underlying standard evolves.

Product implementations and site deployments may need to be updated in order for them to remain interoperable and conformant with an updated IHE profile.

160 This RPC Profile incorporates content from Release 4 of the HL7[®] FHIR[®] specification. HL7 describes FHIR Change Management and Versioning at <https://www.hl7.org/fhir/versions.html>.

HL7 provides a rating of the maturity of FHIR content based on the FHIR Maturity Model (FMM): level 0 (draft) through N (Normative). See <http://hl7.org/fhir/versions.html#maturity>.

The FMM levels for FHIR content used in this profile are:

FHIR Content (Resources, ValueSets, etc.)	FMM Level
Measure	2
MeasureReport	2

170 The Radiology Pathology Concordance (RPC) Profile describes how discrete data elements are collected from structured reports to create an integrated report, where concordance of results is assessed, and reports are shared to an EMR or system in use by a health facility.

The integrated report would extract data from structured radiology and pathology reports, compare the extracted results and assign a score based on the concordance of the results which will be included in a new integrated report.

175 Use cases include the correlation of structured reporting data for Breast, Prostate and Thyroid cancers, as well as the use of integrated diagnostic reports for radiologist training, as well as a tool in MCC's and Tumor Board discussions to support decision making for patient care.

180 **Open Issues and Questions**

None at this time.

Closed Issues

None at this time.

185 **IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction**

The [IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction](#) is shared by all of the IHE domain technical frameworks. Each technical framework volume contains links to this document where appropriate.

9 Copyright Licenses

190 IHE technical documents refer to, and make use of, a number of standards developed and published by several standards development organizations. Please refer to the IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction, [Section 9 - Copyright Licenses](#) for copyright license information for frequently referenced base standards. Information pertaining to the use of IHE International copyrighted materials is also available there.

195 **10 Trademark**

IHE[®] and the IHE logo are trademarks of the Healthcare Information Management Systems Society in the United States and trademarks of IHE Europe in the European Community. Please refer to the IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction, [Section 10 - Trademark](#) for information on their use.

200

IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction Appendices

The [IHE Technical Framework General Introduction Appendices](#) are components shared by all of the IHE domain technical frameworks. Each technical framework volume contains links to these documents where appropriate.

205

*Update the following appendices to the General Introduction as indicated below. Note that these are **not** appendices to this domain's Technical Framework (TF-1, TF-2, TF-3 or TF-4) but rather, they are appendices to the IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction located [here](#).*

210

[Appendix A](#) – Actors

*Add the following **new or modified** actors to the [IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction Appendix A](#):*

New (or modified) Actor Name	Definition
No new actors	

215

The table below lists existing actors that are utilized in this profile.

Complete List of Existing Actors Utilized in this Profile

Existing Actor Name	Definition
Content Creator	The Content Creator creates content and transmits to a Content Consumer.
Content Consumer	The Content Consumer views, imports, or performs other processing of content created by a Content Creator.
Content Data Structure Creator	The Content Data Structure Creator creates a message structure definition that may be employed by a Content Creator to develop profile-conformant messages for exchange with a Content Consumer.
Content Data Structure Consumer	The Content Data Structure Consumer consumes a message structure definition that may be employed by a Content Creator to develop profile-conformant messages for exchange with a Content Consumer.

220 **Appendix B – Transactions**

Add the following new or modified transactions to the IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction Appendix B:

New (or modified) Transaction Name and Number	Definition
Send Concordance Report [LAB-90]	This transaction is used to transfer a structured concordance report from a Content Creator to the Content Consumer
Retrieve Concordance Report Definition [LAB-91]	This transaction is used by Content Data Structure Consumer and Content Data Structure Creator to retrieve a definition of a concordance report so that a concordance report can be created and/or validated.

225 **Appendix D – Glossary**

Add the following new or updated glossary terms to the IHE Technical Frameworks General Introduction Appendix D.

New (or modified) Glossary Term	Definition
No new Glossary Terms	

230

Volume 1 – Profiles

Domain-specific additions

Not applicable

235

Add new Section X

X Radiology Pathology Concordance (RPC) Profile

- 240 The correlation of radiologic and pathologic findings is well established as a key component in the evaluation of cancer, enabling accurate diagnosis and informing treatment decisions. As technologies have improved and the field of molecular diagnostics has grown, there is now an opportunity to build on the traditional correlation between imaging, and ex vivo and histopathologic findings.
- 245 In recent years there has been a greater push to standardize the way in which diagnostic pathology and radiology data is captured, and synoptic reporting has been emphasized as a tool to enable this collection of data. While synoptic reports offer improved standardization and analysis of data, it is the integration and correlation of pathologic and radiologic data that could offer great benefits for health care facilities as well as in the clinical setting.
- 250 The RPC Profile aims to leverage the discrete data collected through structured pathology and radiology reports to correlate diagnostic results. The reports created can then be used in health care facilities for training, care planning and quality improvement.
- This profile describes how to use the FHIR Measure and MeasureReport resources in order to correlate radiology and pathology results at a patient level. The Measure resource defines how to correlate a given results, and the MeasureReport is the actual result for a given patient.
- 255

X.1 RPC Actors, Transactions, and Content Modules

- This section defines the actors, transactions, and/or content modules in this profile. General definitions of actors are given in the Technical Frameworks General Introduction Appendix A. IHE Transactions can be found in the Technical Frameworks General Introduction Appendix B.
- 260 Both appendices are located at http://ihe.net/Technical_Frameworks/#GenIntro
- Figure X.1-1 shows the actors directly involved in the RPC Profile and the relevant transactions between them. If needed for context, other actors that may be indirectly involved due to their participation in other related profiles are shown in dotted lines. Actors which have a required grouping are shown in conjoined boxes (see Section X.3).

265




Figure X.1-1: RPC Actor Diagram

270 Table X.1-1 lists the transactions for each actor directly involved in the RPC Profile. To claim compliance with this profile, an actor shall support all required transactions (labeled “R”) and may support the optional transactions (labeled “O”).

Table X.1-1: RPC Profile – Actors and Transactions

Actors	Transactions	Initiator or Responder	Optionality	Reference
Content Creator	Send Concordance Report [LAB-90]	Initiator	R	PaLM TF-2: 3.Y
Content Consumer	Send Concordance Report Response [LAB-90]	Responder	R	PaLM TF-2: 3.Y
Content Data Structure Consumer	Retrieve Concordance Report Definition [LAB-91]	Initiator	R	PaLM TF-2: 3.Y2
Content Data Structure Creator	Retrieve Concordance Report Definition Response [LAB-91]	Responder	R	PaLM TF-2: 3.Y2

275 Table X.1-2 lists the content module(s) defined in the RPC Profile. To claim support with this profile, an actor shall support all required content modules (labeled “R”) and may support optional content modules (labeled “O”).

Table X.1-2: RPC Profile – Actors and Content Modules

Actors	Content Modules	Optionality	Reference
Content Data Structure Creator	RPC Measure	R	PaLM TF-3: A.1
Content Data Structure Consumer	RPC Measure	R	PaLM TF-3: A.1

X.1.1 Actor Descriptions and Actor Profile Requirements

X.1.1.1 Content Creator

280 The Content Creator associates key data elements from the Pathology and Radiology structured reports, evaluates the identified data elements for concordance, and assigns a concordance score to the case and creates a concordance report.

X.1.1.2 Content Consumer

285 The Content Creator notifies the Content Consumer of the availability of the concordance report. Clinicians can log into the Content Consumer to retrieve the report and review for correlation and concordance.

X.1.1.3 Content Data Structure Creator

The Content Data Structure creator defines a Measure Resource that would be exchanged between the Content Creator and Content Consumer. The implementing site would define the aggregate health data to be exchanged.

X.1.1.4 Content Data Structure Consumer

290 The Content Data Structure Consumer consumes a Measure Resource produced by a content data structure creator. The content creator or the content consumer may act as a Content Data Structure Consumer.

X.2 RPC Actor Options

295 No options have been defined for this profile.

X.3 RPC Required Actor Groupings

RPC has no required actor groupings. Optional actor groupings are defined in Section X.1.

X.4 RPC Overview

X.4.1 Concepts

300 The following concepts are used in this profile:

- Measure: the FHIR resource which defines a correlation measure.
- MeasureReport: the FHIR resource which specifies the data captured according to a Measure.

X.4.1.1 Use Case #1: Concordance Scoring for Radiologist Training

305

X.4.1.1.1 Concordance Scoring for Radiologist Training Use Case Description Use Case Description

In this use case Rad-Path concordance will be used as a training tool for Radiologists. The application will assess concordance in identified data elements extracted from structured radiology and pathology reports for Breast, Prostate, and Thyroid.

310

A report will be produced based on the extracted data and will be assigned a score based on how concordant the radiology report was to the pathology report.

This concordance score will then be shared back with the Radiologist issuing the radiology report for training and quality improvement purposes. The goal is to decrease the number of discordant results and improve accuracy of interpretation and reporting.

X.4.1.1.2 Radiologist Training Process Flow

315




Figure X.4.1.1.2-1: Basic Process Flow in RPC Profile

X.4.1.2 Use Case #2: Rad-Path Concordance and Reporting for MCC/Tumor Board Review

320

X.4.1.2.1 Rad-Path Concordance and Reporting for MCC/Tumor Board Review Use Case Description

325

In this use case an integrated diagnostic report will be created to facilitate discussions in a group setting such as Tumor Boards/ Multidisciplinary Cancer Conferences (MCC). Tumor Boards/ MCCs bring together a multidisciplinary group of clinicians and other care providers to review cancer cases, share knowledge and develop care plans for cancer patients. Radiology and pathology reports provide key information needed to make care plans and treatment decisions.

Radiology and Pathology data will be extracted from structured Radiology and Pathology reports based on identified key data elements. Extracted data will automatically be assessed for concordance and an integrated report will be issued.

330 An integrated diagnostic report would enable the clinicians in a group setting to more quickly and effectively make treatment or care decisions for a cancer patient.

X.4.1.3 Use Case #3: Breast Rad-Path Concordance

X.4.1.3.1 Breast Rad-Path Concordance Use Case Description

In this use case an application extracts data from structured radiology and pathology reports to create an integrated diagnostic report for Breast lesions.

335 The Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) enables the structured and standardized collection of Mammography, Ultrasound, and MRI results through radiology information systems (RIS) or voice recognition systems.

340 The College of American Pathologists (CAP) Cancer Protocols for DCIS Biopsy and Invasive Breast Cancer Biopsy collect pathologic data in a structured format which is integrated with Laboratory Information Systems (LIS).

345 Data elements important to the diagnosis of Breast Cancer will be extracted from the LIS and RIS or Voice Recognition System through an application, to create an integrated diagnostic report that correlates radiology and pathology results. In particular it is the correlation of ‘Masses’ ‘Calcifications’ and ‘Laterality’ in BI-RADS and ‘Histologic Type’, ‘Microcalcifications’ and ‘Specimen Laterality’ within the CAP Cancer Protocols that is required as part of an integrated diagnostic report to confirm diagnosis.

X.4.1.4 Use Case #4: Prostate Rad-Path Concordance

X.4.1.4.1 Prostate Rad-Path Concordance Use Case Description

350 In this use case an application extracts data from structured radiology and pathology reports to create an integrated diagnostic report for Prostate lesions.

The Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS) enables the structured and standardized collection of prostate MRI results and reporting through radiology information systems (RIS) or voice recognition systems.

355 The College of American Pathologists (CAP) Cancer Protocols for Radical Prostatectomy collect pathologic data in a structured format which is integrated with laboratory information systems.

Data elements important to the diagnosis of Prostate Cancer will be extracted from the LIS, and RIS or voice recognition system through an application, to create an integrated diagnostic report that correlates radiology and pathology results. The data elements being extracted are as follows:

PI-RADS	CAP Radical Prostatectomy Protocol
Prostate Size	Prostate Size: Size (centimeters)
Lesion Size	Tumor Size (Dominant Nodule, if present)
Tumor Location	Location of Dominant Nodule
Extracapsular Extension	Extraprostatic Extension
Seminal Vesicle Invasion	Seminal Vesicle Invasion
Adjacent Organ Invasion	Bladder Neck Invasion
Pelvic Lymph Nodes	Regional Lymph Nodes
Gleason Score	Gleason Score
Grade Group	Histologic Grade, Grade Group and Gleason Score

360

X.4.1.5 Use Case #5: Thyroid Rad-Path Concordance

X.4.1.5.1 Thyroid Rad-Path Concordance Use Case Description

In this use case an application extracts data from structured radiology and pathology reports to create an integrated diagnostic report for Thyroid tumors.

365 The Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System (TI-RADS) enables the structured and standardized collection of Ultrasound results and reporting through radiology information systems (RIS) or voice recognition systems.

370 The College of American Pathologists (CAP) ‘Protocol for Examination of Specimens from Patients with Carcinomas of the Thyroid Gland’ collect pathologic data in a structured format which is integrated with laboratory information systems.

375 Data elements important to the diagnosis of Thyroid Cancer will be extracted from the LIS, and RIS or Voice Recognition System through an application, to create an integrated diagnostic report that correlates radiology and pathology results. In particular it is the correlation of ‘Shape’ and ‘Margin’ in TI-RADS and ‘Tumor Size’ and ‘Margins’ and within the CAP Cancer Protocols that is required as part of an integrated diagnostic report to confirm diagnosis.

X.5 RPC Security Considerations

PaLM defines a number of security considerations. Implementers are expected to follow all security best practices in order to safeguard patient data.

See PaLM Security Environment Considerations in PaLM TF-1: Appendix A.

380 See ATNA Security considerations in ITI TF-1: 9.5

See IUA Security considerations in ITI IUA Supplement Section TF-1: 34.5

X.6 RPC Cross Profile Considerations

X.6.1 SDC - Structured Data Capture

385 A Form Filler may be grouped with a Content Creator to push data to a Content Consumer. A
Form Filler would generate structured data which is capable of being transformed to a FHIR
message compliant with this profile.

X.6.2 APSR - Anatomic Pathology Structured Report

390 An APSR Content Creator may create content that an RPC Content Creator can send to an RPC
Content Consumer. The APSR content could be structured in a FHIR message much like the data
captured by an SDC Form Filler.

Appendices to Volume 1

Not Applicable

Volume 2 – Transactions

395 *Add Section 3.Y*

3.Y Send Concordance Report [LAB-90]

This transaction describes how to send data from a Content Creator to a Content Consumer.

3.Y.1 Scope

400 The Send Concordance Report [LAB-90] transaction is used to transfer a structured concordance report from a Content Creator to the Content Consumer

3.Y.2 Actor Roles




Figure 3.Y.2-1: Use Case Diagram

Table 3.Y.2-1: Actor Roles


Actor:	Content Creator
Role:	The Content Creator is responsible for the creation of a FHIR MeasureReport containing correlated radiology and pathology data.
Actor:	Content Consumer
Role:	The content consumer receives the concordance report containing correlated results

405

3.Y.3 Referenced Standards

- HL7 FHIR MeasureReport

3.Y.4 Messages



410

Figure 3.Y.4-1: Interaction Diagram

3.Y.4.1 Send Concordance Report

This transaction transmits RPC-conformant messages containing correlated results from the content creator to the content consumer.

415 The Send Concordance Report is implemented as a FHIR create Transaction defined in the RESTful API implementation guide: <https://www.hl7.org/fhir/http.html#create>

The format for this transaction is as follows:

POST/PUT [base]/MeasureReport

3.Y.4.1.1 Trigger Events

420 Examples of events which might trigger a Send Concordance Report transaction include, but are not limited to:

- Completion of a radiology and pathology report where results are collected and a concordance report is created immediately
- An overnight batch job where the report is created whenever the function is run

3.Y.4.1.2 Message Semantics

425 The Content Creator creates an RPC conformant message containing correlated results that meets the requirements of the RPC Measure. The Content Creator SHALL send the message using Send Concordance Report and the Content Consumer SHALL consume the message according to the RPC Measure. The table below describes the request.

Table 3.Y.4.1.2-1: Message Semantics for Send Concordance Report

	Description
URL	The RPC Profile does not prescribe the form of the URL to be advertised by a Content Consumer except that the scheme of the URL SHALL be “https.”
Headers	The Update request SHALL contain a Content-type header identifying the payload Type:Content-type: application/fhir+xml Type:Content-type: application/fhir+json The request MAY contain any additional headers. For example, a Content Consumer may require an Authorization header.
	A Content Consumer MAY support additional parameters
BODY	The body of a RPC Send Concordance Report request SHALL contain a valid RPC data payload as described in Section 8.2 of this profile.

430

The report SHALL contain the following elements:

subject

A required patient or group reference

period

435 The start and end of the reporting period

group.coding.code

A required reference for a valid report

stratifier.stratum.value

A required value for each report

440 3.Y.4.1.3 Expected Actions

The Content Consumer SHALL process the RPC message received and return the status of the transaction as a Send Concordance Report Result as defined in Section 3.Y.4.2.

3.Y.4.2 Send Concordance Report Response

445 This transaction is an acknowledgement of RPC POST Content transaction from the Content Consumer to the Content Creator.

The Send Concordance Report Response is implemented as an HTTP response. It is expected to be made synchronously.

3.Y.4.2.1 Trigger Events

450 A Content Consumer sends a Send Concordance Report Response when the Concordance report is received from the Content Creator.

3.Y.4.2.2 Message Semantics

455 The Send Concordance Report Result is implemented as an HTTP Response. The response may include content in the body to provide an implementation specific informative message on the completed status of the transaction. The response shall contain an HTTP status code. The table below describes the codes which may be produced by the Content Consumer which have a specific meaning related to the transaction.

460 Note that a Content Creator should be prepared to handle additional status codes not particular to the transaction, such as authorization, server or network error codes. HTTP status codes correspond to FHIR HTTP 3.1.0.4.2 Rejecting Updates (<https://www.hl7.org/fhir/http.html#rejecting-updates>).

Table 3.Y.4.2.2-1: Send Concordance Report Result status codes

HTTP Status Code	Interpretation
200	Send Concordance Report Request was successfully processed
202	Send Concordance Report Request has been accepted for processing, but the processing has not been completed. The request might or might not be eventually acted upon, and may be disallowed when processing occurs.
303	The response to the Send Concordance Report when the task is complete can be retrieved from another URL. When received in response to a Send Concordance Report, the client should presume that the server has received the data and should issue a redirect with a separate GET message.
400	Bad Request - message content is badly formed or invalid
401	Not authorized - authorization is required for the interaction that was attempted
404	Not found - resource type is not supported

HTTP Status Code	Interpretation
405	Method not allowed - the resource did not exist prior to the update, and the server does not allow client defined ids
409/412	Conflict - invalid identifier in the message content.
415	Unsupported content-type or media
422	Unprocessable entity - The MeasureReport does not adhere to RPC Profile on the required fields, etc.
501	The request method is not implemented.

3.Y.4.2.3 Expected Actions

465 A Content Consumer SHALL respond with appropriate error codes in the event of receiving an invalid MeasureReport according to the FHIR 3.1.0.4.2 Rejecting Updates. This response should include the appropriate code detailed in Table 3.Y.4.2.2-1 in a FHIR OperationOutcome resource.

3.Y.5 Protocol Requirements

See ITI TF-2: Appendix Z.

470 3.Y.6 Security Considerations

This profile is assumed to use patient data. The appropriate security precautions are expected to be taken to protect PHI, these should include the precautions outlined in the PaLM Security Environment Considerations in PaLM TF-1: Appendix A.

475 Transport of RPC data SHOULD be safeguarded according to jurisdictional guidelines. To protect data integrity these SHOULD include encryption of the transport layer and the use of an appropriate mutual authentication mechanism which meets these guidelines.

Content Consumers should also take adequate account of security considerations related to the generic processing of RPC documents.

3.Y.6.1 Security Audit Considerations

480 There is no specific ATNA security audit event that is associated with this transaction.


3.Y2 Retrieve Concordance Report Definition [LAB-91]

485 This section corresponds to transaction [LAB-91] of the IHE PALM Technical Framework. Transaction [LAB-91] is used by Content Data Structure Consumer and Content Data Structure Creator to retrieve a definition of a concordance report so that a concordance report can be created and/or validated.

3.Y2.1 Scope

This transaction is used to obtain the FHIR Measure to structure and validate a given FHIR MeasureReport.

3.Y2.2 Actor Roles



490

Figure 3.Y2.2-1: Use Case Diagram

Table 3.Y2.2-1: Actor Roles

Actor:	Content Data Structure Consumer
Role:	The Content Data Structure Creator is responsible for the creation of a Measure Resource, which specifies the structure of a given MeasureReport
Actor:	Content Data Structure Creator
Role:	A Content Consumer is responsible for receiving the Measure Resource and validating its messages.

3.Y2.3 Referenced Standards

- HL7 FHIR Measure

495

3.Y2.4 Messages




Figure 3.Y2.4-1: Interaction Diagram

3.Y2.4.1 Retrieve Concordance Report Definition

500 This transaction details the Content Data Structure Consumer requesting an RPC Measure from a Content Data Structure Creator. The Measure MAY be used by the Content Consumer to validate their RPC messages upon receipt. As such, it is expected that the Content Consumer and Content Data Structure Consumer MAY be bundled in most use cases.

505 The Retrieve Concordance Report Definition [LAB-91] is implemented as a FHIR Read transaction defined in the RESTful API implementation guide:
<https://www.hl7.org/fhir/http.html#read>.

The format for this transaction is as follows:

```
GET [base]/Measure/[id]
```

3.Y2.4.1.1 Trigger Events

510 A Content Data Structure Consumer sends a Retrieve Concordance Report Definition [LAB-91] as a request for a given Measure from a Content Data Structure Creator.

3.Y2.4.1.2 Message Semantics

The following table describes the messaging semantics for a Concordance Report Definition.

Table 3.Y2.4.1.2-1: Message Semantics for Concordance Report Definition

	Description
URL	The RPC Profile does not prescribe the form of the URL to be advertised by a Content Consumer except that the scheme of the URL SHALL be “https”.
Headers	The Read request SHALL contain a Content-type header identifying the payload Type:Content-type: application/ fhir+xml Type:Content-type: application/fhir+json The request MAY contain any additional headers. For example, a Content Creator may require an Authorization header.
BODY	The body of an RPC Retrieve Data Structure request SHALL contain the ID of the requested Measure resource.

515

3.Y2.4.1.3 Expected Actions

The Content Data Structure Consumer SHALL send a request for a given Measure from the Content Data Structure Creator.

3.Y2.4.2 Retrieve Concordance Report Definition Response

520 This transaction defines the response given by a Content Data Structure Creator to a Content Data Structure Consumer.

The Retrieve Concordance Report Definition [PALM-Y2] Response is implemented as a FHIR Read transaction defined in the RESTful API implementation guide:
<https://www.hl7.org/fhir/http.html#read>.

525 An example Measure is provided in PaLM TF-3: Appendix A.1.

3.Y2.4.2.1 Trigger Events

A Content Data Structure Creator SHALL return a Report Concordance Definition Response if a Content Consumer has sent a Retrieve Concordance Report Definition Request.

3.Y2.4.2.2 Message Semantics

530 The Content Data Structure Creator SHALL conform to HTTPS standards and respond to the Retrieve Concordance Report Definition Request with the appropriate status code outlined in the table below.

Table 3.Y2.4.2.2-1: Retrieve Concordance Report Definition Result Status Codes

HTTP Status Code	Interpretation
200	Retrieve Concordance Report Definition Request was successfully processed
202	Retrieve Concordance Report Definition Request has been accepted for processing, but the processing has not been completed. The request might or might not be eventually acted upon, and may be disallowed when processing occurs.
303	The response to the Retrieve Concordance Report Definition Request when the task is complete can be retrieved from another URL. When received in response to a Retrieve Concordance Report Definition, the client should presume that the server has received the data and should issue a redirect with a separate GET message.
400	Bad Request - message content is badly formed or invalid.
401	Not authorized - authorization is required for the interaction that was attempted.
404	Not found - The referenced Measure resource was not found.
405	Method not allowed - the resource did not exist prior to the update, and the server does not allow client defined ids.
409/412	Conflict - invalid identifier in the message content.
415	Unsupported content-type or media
422	Unprocessable entity - The referenced Measure resource does not adhere to the message semantics.
501	The request method is not implemented.

535 The HL7 FHIR Measure SHALL contain the following elements:

`title`

The name for this concordance report.

`status`

The publication status of this Measure.

540 `group.code`

The meaning of the group.

`group.stratifier`

The criteria for the measure.

`group.stratifier.code`

545 The meaning of the stratifier.

`group.component`

A separator for multiple stratifiers part of a single group.

`group.component.criteria`

550 The criteria for the group including the language and expression for where to find the data in a given patient record in order to build the MeasureReport.

3.Y2.4.2.3 Expected Actions

The Content Data Structure Creator SHALL return the requested Measure in the Concordance Report Definition Response to the Content Data Structure Consumer.

3.Y2.5 Protocol Requirements

555 See ITI TF-2: Appendix Z

3.Y2.6 Security Considerations

There are no specific security considerations for FHIR Measures, as they should not contain PHI.

Appendices to Volume 2

Not applicable.

560

Volume 3 – Content Modules

565

5 IHE Namespaces, Concept Domains and Vocabularies

Add to Section 5 IHE Namespaces, Concept Domains and Vocabularies

5.1 IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Namespaces

570

The Pathology and Laboratory Medicine registry of OIDs is located at
<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1YQ9IghX9I5HTIV0dw8A6b2rCkyhu61Y1>

Additions to the Pathology and Laboratory Medicine OID Registry are:

None

5.2 IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Concept Domains

575

For a listing of the Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Concept Domains see:

Not applicable

5.3 IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Format Codes and Vocabularies

5.3.1 IHE Format Codes

580

*List in the table below any **new** format codes to be added to the IHE Format Codes wiki page at http://wiki.ihe.net/index.php/IHE_Format_Codes. For public comment, the additions must be listed in the table below. The domain technical committee must ensure any new codes are also added to the wiki page prior to publication for trial implementation.*

585

Profile	Format Code	Media Type	Template ID
<Profile Name> (<Profile Acronym>)	<urn:ihe: >		<oids>
No new			

5.3.2 IHEActCode Vocabulary

590 *List in the table below, any **new** additions to the IHEActCode Vocabulary wiki page at http://wiki.ihe.net/index.php/IHEActCode_Vocabulary. For public comment, the additions must be listed in the table below. The domain technical committee must ensure any new codes are also added to the wiki page prior to publication for trial implementation.*

Code	Description
<Code name>	<short one sentence description or reference to longer description (not preferred)>
No new	

595

5.3.3 IHERoleCode Vocabulary

600 *List in the table below any **new** additions to the IHERoleCode Vocabulary wiki page at http://wiki.ihe.net/index.php/IHERoleCode_Vocabulary. For public comment, the additions must be listed in the table below. The domain technical committee must ensure any new codes are also added to the wiki page prior to publication for trial implementation.*

Code	Description
<name of role>	<Short, one sentence description of role or reference to more info.>
No new	

6 Pathology and Laboratory HL7 V3 CDA Content Modules

605 Not applicable.

7 Pathology and Laboratory Medicine DICOM Content Definitions

Not applicable.

8 RPC Content Modules

This section defines Content Modules for the Radiation Pathology Concordance (RPC) Profile

610 8.1 Overview of the RPC Process

A Content Data Structure Creator creates RPC conformant Measures.

Typically, the RPC Content Data Structure Creator will be implemented as some kind of library of potential Measures, which is based on the HL7 FHIR Measure, as described in Section 8.2.

- 615 • RPC Content data messages can be validated using ValueSets from the HL7 FHIR Terminology Service.

Content Creators and Content Consumers exchange a data payload that conforms to the RPC compliant resources. A sample RPC compliant data payload is provided in the informative Appendices A.1 and A.2

8.2 FHIR MeasureReport

620 An RPC FHIR MeasureReport shall be compliant with the constraints defined in Section 3.Y.1 of this profile.

The Measure should provide guidance as to which data should be present in the MeasureReport for compliance.

Appendices to Volume 3

625 **Appendix A – Sample Measure and Message for RPC Profile**

Appendix A text.

A.1 Sample RPC Measure

```
{
  "resourceType": "Measure",
630  "id": "RadObs",
  "status": "draft",
  "experimental": true,
  "group": [
    {
635  "stratifier": [
    {
      "code": {
        "coding": [
          {
640  "code": "measure-observation"
          }
        ]
      },
      "component": [
645  {
        "criteria": {
          "language": "text/fhir-x-query",
          "expression": "/Observation?subject:Patient/{id}/&value-
concept=http://radlex.org|RID49502"
650  }
        }
      ]
    }
  ]
}
```

```
    }
  ]
655 },
  {
    "stratifier": [
      {
660     "component": [
          {
            "code": {
              "coding": [
                {
665         "code": "measure-observation"
                }
              ]
            }
          ],
        },
        "criteria": {
          "language": "text/fhir-x-query",
670     "expression": "/Observation?subject:Patient/{id}/&value-
concept=http://cap.org/eCC|16811"
        }
      }
    ]
  }
675 }
]
}
]
}
680 }
```

A.2 Sample RPC Message

```
{
685   "resourceType": "MeasureReport",
      "id": "RadObsMeasureReport",
      "status": "complete",
      "type": "individual",
      "measure": "http://cap.org/radpath",
690   "period": {
      "start": "2021-02-07",
      "end": "2021-02-07"
    },
      "group": [
695     {
      "stratifier": [
        {
          "code": [
700            {
              "coding": [
                {
705                  "code": "372278000",
                  "system": "http://snomed.info/sct",
                  "display": "Gleason score"
710                }
              ]
            }
          ]
        }
      ],
      "stratum": [
        {
          "value": {
```

```
    "coding": [  
      {  
        "code": "372278000",  
715      "system": "http://snomed.info/sct",  
        "display": "Gleason score"  
      }  
    ]  
  },  
720  "component": [  
    {  
      "code": {  
        "coding": [  
725          {  
            "code": "372278000",  
            "system": "http://snomed.info/sct",  
            "display": "Gleason score"  
          }  
        ]  
730      },  
      "value": {  
        "coding": [  
          {  
735            "code": "RID49502",  
            "system": "http://radlex.org",  
            "display": "clinically significant prostate cancer"  
          }  
        ]  
740      }  
    }  
  ]
```

```
    }  
  ]  
  }  
745 ]  
    }  
  ]  
  }
```

750

Volume 4 – National Extensions

Not applicable.