

Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise



5

**IHE Quality, Research and Public Health
(QRPH)**

**Technical Framework
Volume 1
Revision 0.1**

10

**Trial Implementation
September 2, 2011**

15

Trial Implementation: Volume 1

20 This document is published as a Trial Implementation Document. It provides the structure for QRPH TF-1 that will be published as Final Text. QRPH supplements in Trial Implementation are published as changes to this document. When this document is published in its Final Text version, QRPH supplements will then be published as changes to that version of the document.

This section (Trial Implementation: Volume 1) will be removed in the Final Text publication.

Foreword

25 Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise (IHE) is an initiative designed to stimulate the integration of the information systems that support modern healthcare institutions. Its fundamental objective is to ensure that in the care of patients all required information for medical decisions is both correct and available to healthcare professionals. The IHE initiative is both a process and a forum for encouraging integration efforts. It defines a technical framework for the implementation of established messaging standards to achieve specific clinical goals. It includes a rigorous testing process for the implementation of this framework. And it organizes educational sessions and exhibits at major meetings of medical professionals to demonstrate the benefits of this framework and encourage its adoption by industry and users.

30 The approach employed in the IHE initiative is not to define new integration standards, but rather to support the use of existing standards, HL7, DICOM, IETF, and others, as appropriate in their respective domains in an integrated manner, defining configuration choices when necessary. When clarifications or extensions to existing standards are necessary, IHE refers recommendations to the relevant standards bodies.

35 This initiative has numerous sponsors and supporting organizations in different medical specialty domains and geographical regions. In North America the primary sponsors are the Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society ([HIMSS](#)) and the Radiological Society of North America ([RSNA](#)). [IHE Canada](#) has also been formed. IHE Europe ([IHE-EUR](#)) is supported by a large coalition of organizations including the European Association of Radiology ([EAR](#)) and European Congress of Radiologists ([ECR](#)), the Coordination Committee of the Radiological and Electromedical Industries ([COCIR](#)), Deutsche Röntgengesellschaft ([DRG](#)), the [EuroPACS Association](#), Groupement pour la Modernisation du Système d'Information Hospitalier ([GMSIH](#)), Société Française de Radiologie ([www.sfr-radiologie.asso.fr SFR]), and Società Italiana di Radiologia Medica ([SIRM](#)). In Japan [IHE-J](#) is sponsored by the Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry ([METI](#)); the [Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare](#); and [www.medis.or.jp MEDIS-DC]; cooperating organizations include the Japan Industries Association of Radiological Systems ([JIRA](#)), the Japan Association of Healthcare Information Systems Industry ([JAHIS](#)), Japan Radiological Society ([JRS](#)), Japan Society of Radiological Technology ([JSRT](#)), and the Japan Association of Medical Informatics ([JAMI](#)). Other organizations representing healthcare professionals are actively involved and others are invited to join in the expansion of the IHE process across disciplinary and geographic boundaries.

40

45

50

55

60 The IHE Technical Frameworks for the various domains (Patient Care Coordination, IT Infrastructure, Cardiology, Laboratory, Radiology, etc.) define specific implementations of established standards to achieve integration goals that promote appropriate sharing of medical information to support optimal patient care. These are expanded annually, after a period of public review, and maintained regularly through the identification and correction of errata. The current version for these Technical Frameworks may be found at www.ihe.net.

65 The IHE Technical Framework identifies a subset of the functional components of the healthcare enterprise, called IHE Actors, and specifies their interactions in terms of a set of coordinated, standards-based transactions. It describes this body of transactions in progressively greater depth. Volume 1 provides a high-level view of IHE functionality, showing the transactions organized into functional units called Integration Profiles that highlight their capacity to address specific clinical needs. Subsequent volumes provide detailed technical descriptions of each IHE transaction.

Content of the Technical Framework

70 This technical framework defines relevant standards and constraints on those standards in order to implement a specific use cases for the transfer of information between systems. This document is organized into 2 volumes as follows:

Volume 1 - Overview

75 This volume is provided as a high level overview of the profiles including descriptions of the use case, the actors involved, the process flow, and dependencies on other standards and IHE profiles. It is of interest to care providers, vendors' management and technical architects and to all users of the profile

Volume 2 – Transactions and Content Profiles

80 This volume is intended as a technical reference for the implementation of specific transactions in the use case including references to the relevant standards, constraints, and interaction diagrams. It is intended for the technical implementers of the profile.

Comments

85 IHE International welcomes comments on this document and the IHE initiative. They can be submitted using the Web-based comment form at www.ihe.net/qrph/qrphcomments.cfm or by sending an email to the co-chairs and secretary of the Quality, Research and Public Health domain committees at qrph@ihe.net.

Contents

1	Introduction.....	5
90	1.1 Intended Audience.....	5
	1.2 Related Information for the Reader.....	5
	1.3 How this Volume is Organized.....	5
	1.4 Conventions Used in this Document.....	6
	1.4.1 Technical Framework Cross-references.....	6
95	1.4.2 IHE Actor and Transaction Diagrams and Tables.....	6
	1.4.3 Process Flow Diagrams.....	7
	1.5 Copyright Permissions.....	7
	1.6 Overview of the Technical Framework.....	7
	1.6.1 Relationship to Standards.....	8
100	1.6.2 Relationship to Product Implementations.....	8
	1.6.3 Framework Development and Maintenance.....	9
	2 Profiles.....	10
	2.1 Overview.....	10
	Unplanned Access to past Content.....	12
105	2.2 Actor Descriptions.....	13
	2.3 Transaction Descriptions.....	13
	2.4 Dependencies.....	13
	2.5 History of Annual Changes.....	13
	2.6 Product Implementations.....	13
110	3 Integration Profiles.....	15
	Appendix A Actor Definitions.....	16
	Appendix B Transaction Definitions.....	16
	Appendix C How to Prepare an IHE Integration Statement.....	16
	C.1 Structure and Content of an IHE Integration Statement.....	17
115	C.2 Format of an IHE Integration Statement.....	18

1 Introduction

1.1 Intended Audience

120 The intended audience of this document is:

- Healthcare professionals involved in informatics
- IT departments of healthcare institutions
- Technical staff of vendors participating in the IHE initiative
- Experts involved in standards development

125 • Those interested in integrating healthcare information systems and workflows

1.2 Related Information for the Reader

The reader of volume 1 should read or be familiar with the following documents:

- Volume 1 of the Cross-Enterprise Document Sharing (XDS) Integration Profile documented in the ITI Infrastructure Technical Framework

130 • Volume 1 of the Notification of Document Availability (NAV) Integration Profile documented in the ITI Infrastructure Technical Framework

- Volume 1 of the Audit Trail and Node Authentication (ATNA) Integration Profile documented in the ITI Infrastructure Technical Framework
http://www.ihe.net/Technical_Framework/index.cfm)

135 • HL7 Clinical Document Architecture Release 2: Section 1, CDA Overview.

- Care Record Summary – Implementation Guide for CDA Release 2 (US Realm): Section 1
- Presentations from IHE Workshop: Effective Integration of the Enterprise and the Health System - June 28–29, 2005: http://www.ihe.net/Participation/workshop_2005.cfm, June 2005:

140 • [For a RHIO-3.ppt Leveraging IHE to Build RHIO Interoperability](#)

- [Cross-Enterprise Document Sharing \(XDS\)](#)
- [Notification of Document Availability \(NAV\)](#)
- [Use Cases for Medical Summaries](#)
- [Ovrw.ppt Patient Care Coordination - Overview of Profiles](#)

1.3 How this Volume is Organized

Section 2 describes the general nature, structure, purpose and function of the Technical Framework. Section 3 and the subsequent sections of this volume provide detailed documentation on each integration profile, including the Quality, Research and Public Health problem it is intended to address and the IHE actors and transactions it comprises.

150 The appendices following the main body of the document provide a summary list of the actors and transactions, detailed discussion of specific issues related to the integration profiles and a glossary of terms and acronyms used.

1.4 Conventions Used in this Document

155 This document has adopted the following conventions for representing the framework concepts and specifying how the standards upon which the IHE Technical Framework is based should be applied.

1.4.1 Technical Framework Cross-references

160 When references are made to another section within a Technical Framework volume, a section number is used by itself. When references are made to other volumes or to a Technical Framework in another domain, the following format is used:

<domain designator> TF-<volume number>: <section number>

where:

<domain designator>

165 is a short designator for the IHE domain (PCC= Patient Care Coordination, ITI = IT Infrastructure, RAD = Radiology)

<volume number>

is the applicable volume within the given Domain Technical Framework (e.g., 1, 2, 3), and

<section number>

is the applicable section number.

170 For example: PCC TF-1:3.1 refers to Section 3.1 in volume 1 of the IHE Patient Care Coordination Technical Framework, ITI TF-2:4.33 refers to Section 4.33 in volume 2 of the IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework.

1.4.2 IHE Actor and Transaction Diagrams and Tables

175 Each integration profile is a representation of a real-world capability that is supported by a set of actors that interact through transactions. Actors are information systems or components of information systems that produce, manage, or act on categories of information required by operational activities in the enterprise. Transactions are interactions between actors that communicate the required information through standards-based messages. The diagrams and tables of actors and transactions in subsequent sections indicate which transactions each actor in
180 a given profile must support.

The transactions shown on the diagrams are identified both by their name and the transaction number as defined in QRPH TF-2 (Volume 2 of the QRPH Technical Framework). The transaction numbers are shown on the diagrams as bracketed numbers prefixed with the specific Technical Framework domain.

185 In some cases, a profile is dependent on a prerequisite profile in order to function properly and
be useful. For example, Cross-Enterprise Sharing of Medical Summaries depends on Audit Trail
and Node Authentication (ATNA). These dependencies can be found by locating the desired
profile in the dependencies section of this document to determine which profile(s) are listed as
prerequisites. An actor must implement all required transactions in the prerequisite profiles in
190 addition to those in the desired profile.

1.4.3 Process Flow Diagrams

The descriptions of integration profiles that follow include process flow diagrams that illustrate
how the profile functions as a sequence of transactions between relevant actors.

195 These diagrams are intended to provide an overview so the transactions can be seen in the
context of an institution's or cross-institutions' workflow. Certain transactions and activities not
defined in detail by IHE are shown in these diagrams in italics to provide additional context on
where the relevant IHE transactions fit into the broader scheme of healthcare information
systems. These diagrams are not intended to present the only possible scenario. Often other actor
groupings are possible, and transactions from other profiles may be interspersed.

200 In some cases the sequence of transactions may be flexible. Where this is the case there will
generally be a note pointing out the possibility of variations. Transactions are shown as arrows
oriented according to the flow of the primary information handled by the transaction and not
necessarily the initiator.

1.5 Copyright Permissions

205 Health Level Seven, Inc., has granted permission to the IHE to reproduce tables from the HL7
standard. The HL7 tables in this document are copyrighted by Health Level Seven, Inc. All rights
reserved. Material drawn from these documents is credited where used.

1.6 Overview of the Technical Framework

210 This document, the IHE Quality, Research and Public Health Technical Framework (QRPH TF),
defines specific implementations of established standards. These are intended to achieve
integration goals that promote appropriate exchange of medical information to coordinate the
optimal patient care among care providers in different care settings. It is expanded annually, after
a period of public review, and maintained regularly through the identification and correction of
errata. The latest version of the document is always available via the Internet at
215 http://www.ihe.net/Technical_Framework/, where the technical framework volumes specific to
the various healthcare domains addressed by IHE may be found.

The IHE Quality, Research and Public Health Technical Framework identifies a subset of the
functional components of the healthcare enterprises and health information networks, called IHE
actors, and specifies their interactions in terms of a set of coordinated, standards-based
220 transactions. The other domains within the IHE initiative also produce Technical Frameworks
within their respective areas that together form the IHE Technical Framework. Currently, the
following IHE Technical Framework(s) are available:

- IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework

- IHE Cardiology Technical Framework
- 225 • IHE Laboratory Technical framework
- IHE Radiology Technical Framework
- IHE Patient Care Coordination Technical Framework

Where applicable, references are made to other technical frameworks. For the conventions on referencing other frameworks, see the Introduction of this volume.

230 **1.6.1 Relationship to Standards**

The IHE Technical Framework identifies functional components of a distributed healthcare environment (referred to as IHE actors), solely from the point of view of their interactions in the healthcare enterprise. It further defines a coordinated set of transactions based on standards (such as HL7, IETF, ASTM, DICOM, ISO, OASIS, etc.) in order to accomplish a particular use case.

235 As the scope of the IHE initiative expands, transactions based on other standards may be included as required.

At its current level of development, IHE has also created Content Integration Profiles to further specify the payloads of these transactions, again based on standards. This has become necessary as the healthcare industry moves towards the use of transaction standards that have been used in

240 more traditional computing environments.

In some cases, IHE recommends selection of specific options supported by these standards. However, IHE does not introduce technical choices that contradict conformance to these standards. If errors in or extensions to existing standards are identified, IHE's policy is to report them to the appropriate standards bodies for resolution within their conformance and standards

245 evolution strategy.

IHE is therefore an implementation framework, not a standard. Conformance claims for products must still be made in direct reference to specific standards. In addition, vendors who have implemented IHE integration capabilities in their products may publish IHE Integration Statements to communicate their products' capabilities. Vendors publishing IHE Integration

250 Statements accept full responsibility for their content. By comparing the IHE Integration Statements from different products, a user familiar with the IHE concepts of actors and integration profiles can determine the level of integration between them. See QRPH TF-1: Appendix C for the format of IHE Integration Statements.

1.6.2 Relationship to Product Implementations

255 The IHE actors and transactions described in the IHE Technical Framework are abstractions of the real-world healthcare information system environment. While some of the transactions are traditionally performed by specific product categories (e.g. HIS, Clinical Data Repository, Electronic Health record systems, Radiology Information Systems, Clinical Information Systems or Cardiology Information Systems), the IHE Technical Framework intentionally avoids

260 associating functions or actors with such product categories. For each actor, the IHE Technical Framework defines only those functions associated with integrating information systems. The IHE definition of an actor should therefore not be taken as the complete definition of any product

that might implement it, nor should the framework itself be taken to comprehensively describe the architecture of a healthcare information system.

265 The reason for defining actors and transactions is to provide a basis for defining the interactions among functional components of the healthcare information system environment. In situations where a single physical product implements multiple functions, only the interfaces between the product and external functions in the environment are considered to be significant by the IHE initiative. Therefore, the IHE initiative takes no position as to the relative merits of an integrated environment based on a single, all-encompassing information system versus one based on multiple systems that together achieve the same end.

1.6.3 Framework Development and Maintenance

275 The IHE Quality, Research and Public Health Technical Framework is continuously maintained and expanded on an annual basis by the IHE Quality, Research and Public Health Technical Committee. The development and maintenance process of the Framework follows a number of principles to ensure stability of the specification so that both vendors and users may use it reliably in specifying, developing and acquiring systems with IHE integration capabilities.

280 The first of these principles is that any extensions or clarifications to the Technical Framework must maintain backward compatibility with previous versions of the framework (except in rare cases for corrections) in order to maintain interoperability with systems that have implemented IHE Actors and Integration Profiles defined there. The IHE Quality, Research and Public Health Technical Framework is developed and re-published annually following a three-step process:

- 285 • The Quality, Research and Public Health Technical Committee develops supplements to the current stable version of the Technical Framework to support new functionality identified by the IHE Strategic and QRPH Planning Committees and issues them for public comment.
- The Committee addresses all comments received during the public comment period and publishes an updated version of the Technical Framework for “Trial Implementation.” This version contains both the stable body of the Technical Framework from the preceding cycle and the newly developed supplements. It is this version of the Technical Framework that is used by vendors in developing trial implementation software for the IHE Connectathons.
- 290 • The Committee regularly considers change proposals to the Trial Implementation version of the Technical Framework, including those from implementers who participate in the Connectathon. After resolution of all change proposals received within 60 days of the Connectathon, the Technical Framework version is published as “Final Text”.

295 As part of the Technical framework maintenance the Committee will consider change proposals received after the publication to the “Final Text”.

2 Profiles

2.1 Overview

300 IHE Integration Profiles offer a common language that healthcare professionals and vendors can use to discuss integration needs of healthcare enterprises and the integration capabilities of information systems in precise terms. Integration Profiles specify implementations of standards that are designed to meet identified clinical needs. They enable users and vendors to state which IHE capabilities they require or provide, by reference to the detailed specifications of the IHE Patient Care Coordination Technical Framework..

305 Integration profiles are defined in terms of IHE Actors, transactions and their content. Actors (listed in QRPH TF-1:Appendix A) are information systems or components of information systems that produce, manage, or act on information associated with clinical and operational activities. Transactions (listed in QRPH TF-1:Appendix B) are interactions between actors that communicate the required information through standards-based messages. Content is what is
310 exchanged in these transactions, and are defined by Content Profiles.

Vendor products support an Integration Profile by implementing the appropriate actor(s) and transactions. A given product may implement more than one actor and more than one integration profile.

315 Content Profiles define how the content used in a transaction is structured. Each transaction is viewed as having two components, a payload, which is the bulk of the information being carried, and metadata that describes that payload. The binding of the Content to an IHE transaction specifies how this payload influences the metadata of the transaction. Content modules within the Content Profile then define the payloads. Content modules are transaction neutral, in that what they describe is independent of the transaction in which they are used, whereas content
320 bindings explain how the payload influences the transaction metadata.

In this document, each IHE Integration Profile is defined by:

- The IHE actors involved
- The specific set of IHE transactions exchanged by each IHE actor
- The content of the IHE transactions

325 These requirements are presented in the form of a table of transactions required for each actor supporting the Integration Profile. Actors supporting multiple Integration Profiles are required to support all the required transactions of each Integration Profile supported. When an Integration Profile depends upon another Integration Profile, the transactions required for the dependent Integration Profile have not been included in the table.

330 The content of the transactions are presented as Content Integration Profiles. These are specification of the content to be exchange, along with explanations (called bindings) of how the content affects the transactions in which it is exchanged. It is expected that Content Integration Profiles will be used environments where the physician offices and hospitals have a coordinated infrastructure that serves the information sharing needs of this community of care. Several
335 mechanisms are supported by IHE profiles:

- A registry/repository-based infrastructure is defined by the IHE Cross-Enterprise Document Sharing (XDS) and other IHE Integration Profiles such as patient identification (PIX & PDQ), and notification of availability of documents (NAV).
- A media-based infrastructure is defined by the IHE Cross-Enterprise Document Media Interchange (XDM) profile.
- A reliable messaging-based infrastructure is defined by the IHE Cross-Enterprise Document Reliable Interchange (XDR) profile.
- All of these infrastructures support Security and privacy through the use of the Consistent Time (CT) and Audit Trail and Node Authentication (ATNA) profiles.

For more details on these profiles, see the IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework, found here: http://www.ihe.net/Technical_Framework/.

Such an infrastructure is assumed by the use cases that focus on the context for defining the specific clinical information content for this profile. These content integration profiles use similar transactions and differ only in the content exchanged. A process flow for these use cases using Cross Enterprise Document Sharing (XDS) and Notification of Document Availability (NAV) is shown in the figure below. Other process flows are possible using XDM and/or XDR.




Figure 2.1-1 Use Case Process Flow Diagram

These steps are:

1. Extract/capture a collection of records into a set of documents packaged as an XDS Submission Set. This submission contains at least one clinical document, and may contain a number of other related clinical documents. For example, Medical Summaries are clinical documents (already known in the paper world), which often serve the dual purpose of documenting an encounter and providing the rationale for sending the information to another provider. This step utilizes the transactions provided by the ITI XDS profile to place the records in an XDS Repository (local or shared).

2. The Repository ensures that the documents of the submission set are registered with the XDS Registry of the Affinity Domain (set of cooperating care delivery institutions).
3. Notify the other provider that documents are now available for review. This step utilizes the transactions provided by the ITI NAV profile to perform the e-mail notification.
4. The e-mail notification that contains no patient identified information is received by the specialist EMR system.
5. The receiving provider can then utilize existing query transactions from the XDS profile to find the URL of the Documents.
6. Finally, the receiving provider may choose to display the document, or import relevant information from these records into their own EMR system.

Unplanned Access to past Content

In many cases, a provider may need to assess information from the patient care history, and patients may have content in the XDS repository from prior visits to other providers. For example, Medical Summaries, as well as other documents such as laboratory and radiology reports are critical for emergency physicians and nurses to provide the best care to patient in acute conditions. The figure below shows the transactions required for this use case, again, using XDS. Other process flows are possible using XDM and/or XDR.




Figure 2.1-2 Unplanned Access Process Flow Diagram

Note that IHE Integration Profiles are not statements of conformance to standards, and IHE is not a certifying body. Users should continue to request that vendors provide statements of their conformance to standards issued by relevant standards bodies, such as HL7 and DICOM. Standards conformance is a prerequisite for vendors adopting IHE Integration Profiles.

Also note that there are critical requirements for any successful integration project that IHE cannot address. Successfully integrating systems still requires a project plan that minimizes disruptions and describes fail-safe strategies, specific and mutually understood performance

390 expectations, well-defined user interface requirements, clearly identified systems limitations, detailed cost objectives, plans for maintenance and support, etc.

2.2 Actor Descriptions

This section intentionally left blank.

2.3 Transaction Descriptions

This section intentionally left blank.

395 2.4 Dependencies

Dependencies among IHE Integration Profiles exist when implementation of one integration profile is a prerequisite for achieving the functionality defined in another integration profile. The table below defines these dependencies. Some dependencies require that an actor supporting one profile be grouped with one or more actors supporting other integration profiles.

400

Table 2.4-1 QRPH Profile Dependencies

Integration Profile	Depends on	Dependency Type	Purpose
All QRPH Content Profiles	<i>Consistent Time (CT)</i>	Each Content Creator and Content Consumer actor shall be grouped with the Time Client Actor	Required to manage and resolve conflicts in multiple updates.

To support a dependent profile, an actor must implement all required transactions in the prerequisite profiles in addition to those in the dependent profile. In some cases, the prerequisite is that the actor selects any one of a given set of profiles.

2.5 History of Annual Changes

405 First published for Trial Implementation, August, 2011.

2.6 Product Implementations

Developers have a number of options in implementing IHE actors and transactions in product implementations. The decisions cover three classes of optionality:

- 410 • For a system, select which actors it will incorporate (multiple actors per system are acceptable).
- For each actor, select the integration profiles in which it will participate.
- For each actor and profile, select which options will be implemented.

All required transactions must be implemented for the profile to be supported.

415 Implementers should provide a statement describing which IHE actors, IHE integration profiles and options are incorporated in a given product. The recommended form for such a statement is defined in QRPH TF-1: Appendix C.

In general, a product implementation may incorporate any single actor or combination of actors. When two or more actors are grouped together, internal communication between actors is assumed to be sufficient to allow the necessary information flow to support their functionality; for example, the Document Source Actor of XDS.b may use the Patient Identifier Cross-reference Consumer Actor to obtain the necessary patient identifier mapping information from its local patient id to that used in the document sharing domain. The exact mechanisms of such internal communication are outside the scope of the IHE Technical Framework.

When multiple actors are grouped in a single product implementation, all transactions originating or terminating with each of the supported actors shall be supported (i.e., the IHE transactions shall be offered on an external product interface).

The following examples describe which actors typical systems might be expected to support. This is not intended to be a requirement, but rather to provide illustrative examples.

An acute care EMR serving a hospital might include a Document Source Actor, Document Consumer Actor, a Document Repository Actor, a Patient Identification Consumer Actor, as well as a Secure Node Actor. An Ambulatory EMR serving a physician practice might include a Document Source Actor, Document Consumer Actor, a Patient Demographics Client Actor, as well as a Secure Application Actor.

3 Integration Profiles

435

Appendix A Actor Definitions

Actors are information systems or components of information systems that produce, manage, or act on information associated with operational activities in the enterprise.

Content Creator

440 The Content Creator Actor is responsible for the creation of content and transmission to a Content Consumer.

Content Consumer

A Content Consumer Actor is responsible for viewing, import, or other processing of content created by a Content Creator Actor.

445 Appendix B Transaction Definitions

NA

Appendix C How to Prepare an IHE Integration Statement

450 IHE Integration Statements are documents prepared and published by vendors to describe the conformance of their products with the IHE Technical Framework. They identify the specific IHE capabilities a given product supports in terms of IHE actors and integration profiles described in the technical frameworks of each domain.

455 Users familiar with these concepts can use Integration Statements to determine what level of integration a vendor asserts a product supports with complementary systems and what clinical and operational benefits such integration might provide. Integration Statements are intended to be used in conjunction with statements of conformance to specific standards (e.g., HL7, IETF, DICOM, W3C, etc.).

460 IHE provides a process for vendors to test their implementations of IHE actors and integration profiles. The IHE testing process, culminating in a multi-party interactive testing event called the Connect-a-thon, provides vendors with valuable feedback and provides a baseline indication of the conformance of their implementations. The process is not intended to independently evaluate, or ensure, product compliance. In publishing the results of the Connect-a-thon and facilitating access to vendors' IHE Integration Statements, IHE and its sponsoring organizations are in no way attesting to the accuracy or validity of any vendor's IHE Integration Statements or any other claims by vendors regarding their products.

465 **IMPORTANT -- PLEASE NOTE: Vendors have sole responsibility for the accuracy and validity of their IHE Integration Statements.** Vendors' Integration Statements are made available through IHE simply for consideration by parties seeking information about the integration capabilities of particular products. IHE and its sponsoring organizations have not evaluated or approved any IHE Integration Statement or any related product, and IHE and its
470 sponsoring organizations shall have no liability or responsibility to any party for any claims or damages, whether direct, indirect, incidental or consequential, including but not limited to

business interruption and loss of revenue, arising from any use of, or reliance upon, any IHE Integration Statement.

C.1 Structure and Content of an IHE Integration Statement

475 An IHE Integration Statement for a product shall include:

1. The Vendor Name
2. The Product Name (as used in the commercial context) to which the IHE Integration Statement applies.
3. The Product Version to which the IHE Integration Statement applies.
- 480 4. A publication date and optionally a revision designation for the IHE Integration Statement.
5. The following statement: "This product implements all transactions required in the IHE Technical Framework to support the IHE Integration Profiles, Actors and Options listed below:"
- 485 6. A list of IHE Integration Profiles supported by the product and, for each Integration Profile, a list of IHE Actors supported. For each integration profile/actor combination, one or more of the options defined in the IHE Technical Framework may also be stated. Profiles, Actors and Options shall use the names defined by the IHE Technical Framework Volume 1. (Note: The vendor may also elect to indicate the version number of the Technical Framework referenced for each Integration Profile.)
- 490

Note that implementation of the integration profile implies implementation of all required transactions for an actor as well as selected options.

The statement shall also include references and/or internet links to the following information:

- 495 1. Specific internet address (or universal resource locator [URL]) where the vendor's Integration Statements are posted
2. URL where the vendor's standards conformance statements (e.g., HL7, DICOM, etc.) relevant to the IHE transactions implemented by the product are posted.
3. URL of the IHE Initiative's web page for general IHE information www.himss.org/ihe.

500 An IHE Integration Statement is not intended to promote or advertise aspects of a product not directly related to its implementation of IHE capabilities.

C.2 Format of an IHE Integration Statement

Each Integration Statement shall follow the format shown below. Vendors may add a cover page and any necessary additional information in accordance with their product documentation policies.

IHE Integration Statement	Date	12 Oct 2011
Vendor	Product Name	Version
Any Medical Systems Co.	IntegrateRecord	V2.3
This product implements all transactions required in the IHE Technical Framework to support the IHE Integration Profiles, Actors and Options listed below:		
Integration Profiles Implemented	Actors Implemented	Options Implemented
Cross-Enterprise Sharing of Medical Summaries	Document Consumer	View Option
Audit Trail and Node Authentication	Secure Node	none
Patient Identity Cross-referencing	Patient Identifier Cross-reference Consumer	PIX Update Notification
<u>Internet address for vendor's IHE information:</u>www.anymedicalsystemsco.com/ihe		
Links to Standards Conformance Statements for the Implementation		
HL7	www.anymedicalsystemsco.com/hl7	
Links to general information on IHE		
In North America: www.ihe.net	In Europe: www.ihe-europe.org	In Japan: www.jira-net.or.jp/ihe-j

- 505 The assumption of an integration statement is that all actors listed are functionally grouped and conform to any profile specifications for such groupings. In case of exceptions the vendor must explicitly describe the functional groupings.