

Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise



5

**IHE Patient Care Device (PCD)  
Technical Framework  
White Paper**

10

**Medical Equipment Management (MEM)  
*Overview and Profile Roadmap*  
Version 1.0**

15

20

**September 1, 2009**

---

**Contents**

	1.0 Introduction.....	3
	1.1 Scope .....	3
25	1.2 Goals.....	3
	1.3 Overview .....	4
	1.4 Expected knowledge and references .....	4
	1.5 Request for Feedback .....	4
	1.6 Open Issues and Questions .....	4
30	2 Medical Equipment Management.....	5
	3 Use Case Requirements .....	6
	3.1 Device Configuration Management .....	6
	3.1.1 Use Case 1.....	6
	3.1.2 Use Case 2.....	6
35	3.2 Location and Tracking Service.....	6
	3.2.1 Use Case 3.....	6
	3.3 Battery Management .....	6
	3.3.1 Use Case 4.....	6
	3.4 Operational Status Monitoring and Maintenance.....	6
40	3.4.1 Use Case 5.....	6
	3.5 Patch Management .....	7
	3.5.1 Use Case 6.....	7
	3.6 Event Log Management .....	7
	3.6.1 Use Case 7.....	7
45	3.7 Remote Maintenance .....	7
	3.7.1 Use Case 8.....	7
	3.8 Risk Management Support .....	8
	3.8.1 Use Case 9.....	8
	4 Technical Approach.....	9
50	4.1 Existing PCD transactions.....	9
	4.1.1 PCD-01 (Communicate PCD Data).....	9
	4.1.2 PCD-04 (Report Alarm Status).....	9
	4.2 New transactions .....	9
	4.2.1 Location Tracking.....	9
55	4.2.2 Remote Maintenance .....	10
	4.2.3 Patch Management.....	10
	5 MEM Roadmap .....	11
	6 References.....	12

## 60 1.0 Introduction

The appropriate management of medical equipment is vital for ensuring safe, effective, timely, efficient, and equitable healthcare, yet management of medical equipment is quickly becoming less effective by traditional methods. Most healthcare delivery organizations (HDO) must manage tens of thousands of medical devices. The management of these devices involves a wide array of activities including planning, procurement, inspection, inventory, testing, monitoring, maintenance, and de-commissioning. Many of these devices are becoming networked, but there are no common standards-based technologies for supporting these device management & maintenance activities. The technology profiles presented here address both the actors and transactions that are required to perform management activities, as well as the basic content that is needed to perform specific tasks (e.g., device identification, or representation of battery charge status).

### 1.1 Scope

This white paper focuses on how IHE technical frameworks could be leveraged to address the management of medical equipment. The intention is to identify some of the current problems and propose technical solutions to these problems.

There are several IHE Patient Care Devices profiles and transactions that could be used to accomplish specific aspects of medical equipment management. For example, the configuration management of medical devices could be addressed within the Device Point-of-Care Integration (DPI) profile. The existing transactions originally created for the Device Enterprise Communication (DEC) profile could be used to report back much of the management information required including device status information. Medical equipment management could also benefit from the transactions exchanged via the Alarm Communication Management (ACM) profile.

While this white paper is deliberately constrained to the management of medical equipment, many of the concepts could be extended to management of other enterprise assets including staff, patients, and laptops. For this reason the IHE IT Infrastructure (ITI), and IHE Patient Care Coordination (PCC) domains could also benefit from this work.

### 1.2 Goals

This paper addresses the following goals:

- 90 • Call attention to the need for a framework to create a standardized management capability for networked medical equipment.
- Detail key use cases that show existing problems that need to be solved.
- Discuss technical approach
- Evaluate feasible implementation timelines for a MEM profile.
- 95 • Identify required coordination efforts between standards delivery organizations (SDO's), implementators, and other IHE domains or profiles.

### 1.3 Overview

100 This paper presents an overview of the existing problem in healthcare organizations associated with the management of networked medical devices.. Several use cases are presented and analyzed with respect to problems that IHE can help resolve. The resolutions will include proposed new actors and transactions, and where applicable how existing actors and transactions could be implemented to resolve the problems. A reasonable timeline for implementation will be proposed.

### 1.4 Expected knowledge and references

105 It is assumed that the reader has a working knowledge of

- IHE and its technical framework organization
- Medical equipment management activities

### 1.5 Request for Feedback

110 The IHE Patient Care Device Technical Committee requests feedback on the concepts and technical framework profile supplements described in this White Paper. In particular, we would like your thoughts on whether this paper captures the problem as you see it and what you think of the solution. Comments can be submitted via the web discussion forum at <http://forums.rsna.org>.

### 1.6 Open Issues and Questions

Date Opened	Description	Resource

## 115 **2 Medical Equipment Management**

120 Medical equipment is quickly becoming less manageable by traditional methods. Most healthcare delivery organizations (HDO) must manage tens of thousands of medical devices representing numerous makes and models. The management of these devices involves a wide array of activities including planning, procurement, inspection, inventory, testing, monitoring, maintenance, and de-commissioning. Common activities include unique device identification, ongoing or periodic determination of the device's location, operational status, battery level and charging profile, software / hardware configuration including serial numbers, pending upgrades or software updates, remote maintenance, and risk management.

125 Traditionally medical devices have functioned as stand alone, or perhaps locally networked without the capability to transmit information outside of their own realm. As more equipment becomes connected to the enterprise network, it would be beneficial to equipment management activities to also be able to use these networking capabilities. Currently there are no common standards-based technologies for supporting these device management and maintenance activities. There are several vendor dependant methods in use across the industry showing that this is possible.

130 There are several potential benefits of this electronic approach to medical equipment management.

- Increases in patient safety by having safer, more reliable equipment available to clinicians when and where they need it
- 135 • Reducing equipment failures through proactive maintenance
- Managing the risk associated with networking medical devices
- Tracking devices
- Making sure devices are configured correctly
- 140 • Evidence based maintenance planning could be fully realized with the automated, electronic reporting of maintenance information, this could allow devices to be scheduled for maintenance when they actually need it
- Devices could also be more effectively used requiring less inventory.

### **3 Use Case Requirements**

#### **3.1 Device Configuration Management**

##### **145 3.1.1 Use Case 1**

Increasing numbers of medical devices and complexity, along with perhaps increased attention to field problems has led to an increasing number of recalls. The absence of Unique Device Identification (UDI) means hospitals often must use manual and imprecise systems to find and properly identify recalled devices. Implementing UDI in combination with device tracking would potentially increase patient safety and decrease the work load and cost to address recalls.

##### **150 3.1.2 Use Case 2**

Medical devices often require reconfiguration when used in different clinical contexts. For example, when an IV pump is used in the NICU it may require different libraries, alarm settings, and control parameters than the same IV pump used in the OR. Such devices should have pre-programmed or user-configurable profiles based on use-context.

#### **3.2 Location and Tracking Service**

##### **3.2.1 Use Case 3**

Finding patient care devices when and where clinicians and other personnel need them is crucial to patient care. Tracking devices could provide the ability for clinicians or service personnel to find equipment when they need it, enable usage models, and provide alarms when devices cross boundaries.

#### **3.3 Battery Management**

##### **3.3.1 Use Case 4**

Mobile patient care devices are often submitted for service due to battery problems, are put on regular maintenance schedules for periodic battery replacement, or batteries may fail prematurely interrupting patient care. Patient care devices using batteries should send periodic power status messages to a management system containing information such as current battery charge level, battery type and installation date, estimated battery life remaining, and current power source status (i.e. currently running on A/C or D/C).

##### **170 3.4 Operational Status Monitoring and Maintenance**

##### **3.4.1 Use Case 5**

Today ventilators typically have preventative maintenance (PM) performed every 6 months and as needed because its not necessarily known when a PM is actually required. Awareness of the

175 need for maintenance sometimes comes too late after a problem occurs or too soon when  
maintenance is not needed, resulting in extra cost to the organization and/or unplanned loss of  
availability of the device. Therefore is beneficial going forward for the ventilator to send  
periodic data back to a computerized medical maintenance system (CMMS) reporting device  
usage parameters, event logs, and other information required to predict necessary preventative  
180 maintenance. The system should have the ability to analyze the data streams to predict  
calibration problems. The CMMS could automatically generate work orders based on a defined  
algorithm of the actual use and event logs. The ventilator would also send aperiodic data as it  
occurs of equipment technical alarm conditions such as loss of A/C power loss, low battery, loss  
of gas, etc

### **3.5 Patch Management**

#### **185 3.5.1 Use Case 6**

Many patient care devices integrate off-the-shelf (OTS) software such as  
Linux/Windows/Oracle. The management of devices containing OTS may require periodic  
installation of patches. Many manufacturers provide field-installable software updates to fix bugs  
or address recalls. Devices should have the ability to report current software revisions and dates,  
190 the source of software, installed patches, and pending patches

### **3.6 Event Log Management**

#### **3.6.1 Use Case 7**

When devices fail it is often a cumbersome process to determine root causes. Devices should  
have the ability to send periodic or aperiodic history to a repository. This would include device  
195 event logs, change logs, alarm events, and power/boot-up statistics. There should be a distinction  
of severity levels of alarm status such as critical calibration errors versus warnings of poor power  
quality. Reporting should be user-configurable such as reporting warnings as they occur versus  
send all events once per day.

### **3.7 Remote Maintenance**

#### **200 3.7.1 Use Case 8**

Remote management has been defined as one of several tasks that can be performed on a  
networked medical device such as system monitoring, software upgrades or other system  
modifications, look-over-the-shoulder support, and restarts. While sometimes thought of as  
being a connection to the manufacturer, there are also in-house or other service provider  
205 opportunities such as status checks, local drug library updates, and third party service. Remote  
maintenance offers the opportunity to reduce cost and improve timeliness of service and support.  
Remote maintenance may also have unique capabilities, such as identifying sporadic problems  
that hands-on maintenance could not support. In most instances of remote maintenance the key  
issues are reliability and security of the information exchange, along with controlling access and  
210 timing of interventions.

## **3.8 Risk Management Support**

### **3.8.1 Use Case 9**

215 The draft IEC 80001 requires a life cycle risk management process for networked medical devices that includes not only pre-deployment risk analysis (incl. network configuration documentation) but also dynamic / periodic assessment of network performance and functioning of risk controls. Any set of MEM profiles should provide the information and services needed to support all 80001 activities and documentation that would become part of the organization's risk management file).



## 4 Technical Approach

### 220 4.1 Existing PCD transactions

#### 4.1.1 PCD-01 (Communicate PCD Data)

The original DEC profile was built upon this transaction. It could be leveraged to transmit many of the data elements required to accomplish the workflows in the previous scenarios. For example the battery management scenario would require the following information:

- 225 • Current source of power
  - A/C Utility
  - D/C Battery
- Charge level
  - Percent battery capacity
  - 230 ○ Estimated operating time remaining
- Charging / Discharging history
  - Date/time of last charge
  - Charge capacity achieved
  - Last battery run time
- 235 • Battery type
- Battery installation date
- Battery life expectancy (days until replacement required)

#### 4.1.2 PCD-04 (Report Alarm Status)

- 240 Alarms would be an important part of several of the scenarios presented in this paper. For example in the operational status and monitoring case a device that encounters a non-critical error that should be addressed quickly could be sent via the PCD-04 transaction to a system such as a computerized maintenance management system to generate a work order.

## 4.2 New transactions

### 4.2.1 Location Tracking

- 245 The location tracking scenarios addressed in this paper would require extensive analysis to find suitable standards that could address the use cases. HL7 v3 has a proposed schema for this topic under the title, “The HL7 Version 3 Standard: Registries, Real Time Location Tracking, Release 1.” This draft comes from the Patient Administration Technical Committee of HL7.

### 4.2.2 Remote Maintenance

- 250 NEMA has published a paper. “Security and Privacy Requirements for Remote Servicing.” This  
paper outlines methods to reduce the risks associated with remote servicing in healthcare. It  
points to the use of ISO 27001 "Information technology -- Security techniques -- Information  
255 security management systems – Requirements” to establish proper security controls. A table  
outlines necessary technical and organizational measures for both the HDO and service  
organization that should be put in place. These include:
- policies and procedures
  - Authorization to connect
  - Proper identification of all service activity
  - Audit trails
  - 260 • Secure, encrypted transfer of patient data

### 4.2.3 Patch Management

- The patch management scenario outlined will require a careful analysis of existing protocols and  
standards for exchanging these types of information. Open Vulnerability Assessment Language  
(OVAL) is one such standard being adopted by the information security industry. This is  
265 scoped for vulnerability assessment so its extension to general software maintenance would have  
to be analyzed.

## 5 MEM Roadmap

- **Year 1**

- Develop white paper
- 270 ○ Determine scope and phasing for MEM supplements

- **Year 2**

- Split RTLS into its own profile
- Develop MEM technical framework supplements that defines actors and transactions
- 275
  - Focus on:
    - Battery Management
    - Location Boundary Alarms
  - Detail semantic requirements for MEM profile
    - (Data types, names, value sets)
  - 280 ○ Showcase works in progress at 2010 HIMSS Showcase PCD New Directions

- **Year 3**

- Publish MEM supplement
  - Ensure these use cases are incorporated into supplement
    - Configuration Management
    - Operational Status and Monitoring
- 285 ○ Test MEM profile and actors at Connectathon
- Address change proposals and extensions

## 6 References

<http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-40-Ver2/SP800-40v2.pdf>

290 <http://www.hl7.org/dstucomments/index.cfm>

<http://medical.nema.org/privacy/remote.pdf>